DISCLAIMER

No representative of/for Robert A. Patten was present for the taping of this hearing and no equipment belonging to Robert A. Patten was used in connection with the recording of this matter. The (ph) designations contained within the transcript denote phonetic interpretations as reference documentation was not provided. All spellings relating to individuals, places or organizations contained on these tapes has been accomplished with phonetic interpretations as well.

fully replaced. I do want to note that even though it is on the Department's Red List, it is in safe condition and the rating is such that it needs to be replaced and we do inspect it every six months. Following the meeting tonight and the comment period, we will address all the questions and concerns raised by the Commissioner of the Department of Transportation and a Commission hearing will be held later this summer that will either accept or reject the necessity for the project. Following the Commission hearing, final plans will be developed and the necessary right-of-way and
Will and was a second of the s

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		easements would be acquired. Currently the project is scheduled to advertise in September of 2011. Construction would take place during 2012 and 2013 and there would be final completion in the spring of 2014. Estimated cost of the project is about \$14 million, including the construction, right—of—way and engineering, however, the exact cost will not be known until it actually goes out to bid. Funding is 100 percent turnpike funds as the bridge goes over the F.E. Everett Turnpike. It is a bridge owned by the turnpike. There may be some funds required from the Town of Bedford for any utilities that may be impacted. We do know there's water lines in the area of the project. We will keep impacts to a minimum. As part of the project, additional right—of—way and easements are required, so at this time I will have Victoria Chase explain the right—of—way process. Thank you Alex, members of the Special Committee, ladies and gentlemen. Before I go into the right—of—way procedures for this project there are a couple of things I'd like to mention. First, as Councilor Wieczorek mentioned that if anyone wishes to submit additional testimony as a result of the hearing in regards to the plans we're going to describe, you can address the material to Chairman Wieczorek and mail it to the address shown on the hearing handout which is available on the table behind us, within ten days of tonight's hearing. And I think actually we might need to make it 11 because I think that's a Sunday, so say the Monday after the ten days. I don't know the exact date, but. And it will become part of the official record. It will receive equal consideration to
- Telephania	maral out a declar	will become part of the official record.
	Come postant state is	It will receive equal consideration to anything presented tonight. We also have
23	notice muchair lis lav	with us tonight copies of a handout
24	klikéva si przisej ki na ljesčnukrters i:	entitled, "Your Land and New Hampshire
3/10/7	perveno pae nostos	Highways", which describes the Right-of- Way Acquisition and Relocation Assistance

y "Smelts (10	Procedures that are used when we approach
	a project like this. The handout is
	useful for the property owners that are
	affected by the proposed project. They
	are again, available on the table at the
ferencia staf	door behind us. If, after reviewing the
4	information received at the hearing and
	during the ten-day comment period,
Line lect	
	Committee find necessity for this layout,
	several things will happen. First, the
	Department will be preparing appraisal's
	for each of the properties affected by
	the project as we see here tonight. The
	appraisals will reflect the fair market
	bedrauge ad you land value of the property rights needed for
	the construction. Prior to starting
	negotiations, the appraisals are reviewed
	separately to see that all are accurate
	and have taken into account all
	applicable approaches to value. The
	will be
12	the offer of compensation used as the
. islosge	basis for negotiations. A right-of-way
	emeliation like extint agent will contact each property owner
	and discuss each acquisition separately.
	We encourage you at that time to bring up
	any issues that you feel need to be
	addressed or should have been considered
	Ynomiasa; [page 1664 in the appraisal, and hopefully there
	have been. If the property owner is
nao moy	and some of satisfied the offer, deeds are prepared
1/	and ownership is transferred to the
	state. If the property owner is not
18	happy with the figures offered, they can
LENS IN	appeal to the New Hampshire Board of Tax
19	and Land Appeals and argue for additional
34 924831	compensation there. It is important to
20	understand that this can be done with or
21	without an attorney. It is also
10 to	important to understand that either party
22	can appeal the Board's decision to the
22	Superior Court if they are unsatisfied.
22	Any time after this hearing and before
23	design approval, all information in
24	support of the hearing is available at
24	the Department's headquarters in Concord
SELLING	for your inspection and copying. This

2		project will be administered according to the requirements of the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes to ensure non-discrimination.
4	ALEX VOGT:	That's all I have Alex. Thank you. Thank you Victoria. As part of any project, the Department also needs to
5		document and consider all environmental issues. At this time I will have Cathy Goodmen review the environmental concerns.
7	CATHY GOODMEN:	Thank you Alex. Good evening members of the Special Committee, Bedford public officials, ladies and gentlemen. In
ers 4.8 Lucy ver		accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, New Hampshire
10	(d)	Department of Transportation has considered alternatives to the proposed project and has evaluated potential
0018018	SI CV	impacts this project will have upon the surrounding social, economic and natural
12	the in	environments. Coordination was undertaken and input received from
13	90.	federal and state agencies including Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and
14	10 20	Wildlife, New Hampshire Fish and Game, New Hampshire Department of Environmental
15 16	13.8 (2)	Services, New Hampshire Office of Energy and Planning, New Hampshire Department of Resources and Economic Development, New
17	25 423	Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau and the New Hampshire Division of Historic
18	ento	Resources. In addition, input was received from town and regional officials and the general public. After evaluation
19	Na. C	of the information gathered, an Environmental Study was prepared. The
20	- J1	following is a summary of the major issues contained in that document. In
21	(SE	accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, the NHDOT in coordination with
23		Federal Highway Administration, must take into account the impacts of the project
24	4 (1) 1975	on cultural resources. An historic study of the F.E. Everett Turnpike corridor is
	8.94	currently underway to determine if it is

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		eligible for placement on the National Register of Historic places. If the F.E. Everett Turnpike is eligible, then the replacement of the bridge will be considered an adverse affect and the historic study of the corridor will satisfy the mitigation requirements. A Phase I-A and I-B archaeological survey will be completed in the area of the storm water detention basins prior to construction to determine if there are any prehistoric resources. There are no direct wetland impacts at this time, so a Wetlands Bureau permit is not required. Due to the increase in pavement, we are providing detention ponds and they would be included as part of the treatment of the storm water to maintain the water quality of the runoff. The contractor will be required to prepare an Erosion Control Plan and Storm Water Management Plan specific to the project prior to the start of any construction activities to protect adjacent sensitive resources.
13		Standard pollution prevention measures will be employed to ensure all negative
14		impacts are avoided or minimized. Access to all properties will be maintained
15		throughout construction. If anyone knows of any other environmental or cultural
16		resources within the project area, please
17		let us know tonight or within the ten-day comment period. I also have two copies
284		of the Environmental Document here if
18		anyone wants to look through them.
19		You're welcome to after the meeting. Thank you Alex.
20	ALEX VOGT:	Thank you Cathy. Now, I'll have Trent Zanes review the plans on the wall and
21	TRENT ZANES:	talk about the proposed design. Trent. Thank you Alex. Let me start off
22	INDMI ZAMED.	looking at the area plan for anyone who is not familiar with the project
23		area. This is the F.E. Everett Turnpike here and 293 and 101. Route
24		3 comes south here. This is the Target and Lowe's today. A new hotel was constructed in the southeast

sail	
2	
3	
A E	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
Contract	
22	
23	
24	

quadrant of the bridge and then we have Hawthorne Drive which curves around here. The existing condition of the bridge today is, as Alex mentioned, it was constructed in 1955 and it is structurally deficient. We can't rehabilitate the bridge, we need to reconstruct it. We looked at several options for constructing it on either side and we get into that with the proposed work. The existing bridge was constructed with I-beams and a concrete deck. It has 150' span over the turnpike and the bridge itself has 30' from curve to curve on top for two lanes and shoulders and has, today it has sidewalks on either side, but only for the length of the bridge itself. U.S. Route 3 has two 12-foot lanes and four-foot shoulders beginning and after the intersection of Target and Lowe's, it narrows down to two 12-foot typical coming across the bridge and then it widens out to a three-lane section to provide a leftturn lane onto Hawthorne Drive. posted speed today is 30 miles an hour along here and then right about here, it starts to become a 35 mile an hour zone, which continues to the south. The F.E. Everett Turnpike is a fourlane divided highway with a posted speed of 55 miles an hour. And so, as I have already pointed at the plan, let me go over what some of these different colors mean and what exactly those plans are intended to represent. Starting off with the existing conditions, all this gray you see through here, this is the existing pavement and concrete and sidewalks. The dark green represents trees and wooded areas. This dark gray represents ledge, which is abundant in this area, and the red squares are all the existing buildings that are out there today. So, getting into the proposed work, what you see is the

p 11 - m	yellow would represent the travel
267	lanes or the width from white line to
2	white line. In this case we have a
24	center median which is represented
3	with the light green, this is a raised
We Ite	median. And the brown, this is a
en 4	silver brown on either side which represents a five-foot shoulder, and
5	then a five-foot sidewalk on both
134	sides of the roadway starting here at
6	the Target-Lowe's intersection
Emass.	proceeding south throughout the
7 7 7	project to Hawthorne Drive. There is
91	an existing sidewalk here on Hawthorne
8	Drive, which we're connecting into and
DOE 1	then to the north we have this
9	existing sidewalk on the eastern side or the northern side of Route 3 here
10	and it only runs about this far.
tab look	We're proposing to bend this sidewalk
11	as far as this intersection because we
wob sw	understand that there's a development
12	that is currently looking to construct
00 00	in this quadrant of the bridge here.
13	To make this a four-legged
14	intersection. Ultimately, the sidewalk will be tiered through to the
20 M	north. The plan below what you see
15	this is the profile of the road where
HH	the new Route 3 will be, so this shows
16	the rise and fall of the road. The
bayla	yellow represents the proposed roadway
17	and the brown shows the existing
18	ground. It's important to note that
10	it's the existing ground not the existing roadway because this is not
19	the Route 3 existing right now that's
Unit do	where we're pushing off over here that
20	where were are off on terrain that is
12/11/2	not roadway. So, what we've done here
21	is we've looked at a number of options
b/may a	for replacing the bridge. Keeping in
22	mind, as Alex said, there's a 1988
23	Department policy for U.S. Route 3
20	which calls for two lanes northbound,
24	two lanes southbound and a center turn lane. What we did is we looked at
	constructing the bridge to the north
JUL 201 E. D. L.	sometracting the bridge to the north

2 3 4 5	and, therefore, we'd be able to keep existing traffic on the existing bridge during the construction. This would need less time because if we weresome people have already asked if we were to build two lanes or half the bridge here and then move the traffic over and then complete the bridge, it's more traffic phasing as we call it and more construction phasing and so it would take more time, which means more money. So we had the existing right-of-way, which
	comes out through here there's a lot of right-of-way out there today for us
9	to do this construction, which usually we don't find and not allowed us to
10	provide this and to keep the traffic on the existing bridge and do the full
11	construction out here. Another consideration for this was the
12	widening of the turnpike. In the future, the turnpike will need to be
	wider than it is today to handle the traffic that's coming through. So,
14	this is the time when we need to construct the proper span for the
	bridge to allow future widening of the turnpike. We did some analysis and we
16	found where we believe we need to have the rear abutments for the bridge. So
17	we now have instead for 150' span we have two 100' spans making the bridge
	approximately 200' long. Then, starting here Target-Lowe's matching
19	into the existing roadway here typical crossing the proposed bridge and then
20	carrying this wider typical section down through to Hawthorne Drive, one
21	of the reasons we went with this full width, was our final design team
22	looked at traffic control not only on this section here, but we're going to
23	need to keep three lanes open at this intersection to provide for the
24	capacity that's there today. So, we needed to build that roadway wider
	anyways whether it was temporary

4 5 6 7	lane section today. That would improve capacity at this intersection and what we have done just south of the intersection is taken a right-turn lane that was constructed recently for the veterinary clinic and we've converted that to a through and a	n
	light, and then coming south we're continuing the two lanes southbound	
	through the intersection and then this	S
10	orange area, which I didn't mention	
11 0	we'll be widening and overlay the	
. 12	road, what means we'll be widening the box material underneath the roadway to	
13	we'll overlay the whole roadway in	
14	this area so that it all matches in. So I touched on the ledge a little.	
11	That's going to be an issue for	
15	construction. We'll be blasting this	
16	ledge to the north and then starting construction on the bridge, following	
	the bridge and having the traffic move	<u>;</u>
17	over, and then will remove the	
18	The state of the s	
and great	just about 50' or so to the south of where the bridge is today. That's	
19	going to cause some delays in traffic.	
20	What we're expecting is we'll have	
IIII	rolling roadblocks that will stop traffic on the turnpike, do the	
21	blasting, get out there and clean it	
22	up and meanwhile the traffic gets	
220	started again at a slow speed and we'll proceed them through. We're	
23	<pre>we'll proceed them through. We're going to try not to hold traffic for a</pre>	
24	sufficient period of time. Someone	
	mentioned before the meeting that there has been a lot of blasting in	
	LIETE HOS DEEN A TOL OF DISSEING IN	_

	*	
1	ry to res-	this area for the hotel and for the
1	Hero Sas	Target-Lowe's and this is just going
2	11	to be another extension of that,
	Wind to vo	unfortunately. As I said, the bridge
3	w wou a fall	construction, because we're building
6016		offline, we're eliminating a lot of
4	d ons arec	the different phasing we would
	here is an	require, and that will reduce some of
5	sij bns is	the cost. And the road widening, as I
	W bas noti	said, will widen out to match the
6	Ip dans L	ultimate department policy for Route
basa		3. I'll discuss some of the traffic
7		control, and another issue that we
	So in te	have is drainage because with the new
	yed that	sidewalks on either side of Route 3,
	Apra to de	we'll be trapping the water on the
9	applicate a	roadway, today it just runs off the
	1 dg 30 Map	sides. We're going to be keeping it
10	dg ada ggu	on the pavement and so we need to
	ADS SG 5AC	channel it into a close drainage
11	nit no pay	system and then find a place to treat
40	S painely	it and outlet it. So you can see a
12	Even sw.i	number of places where we have we have
13		cross pipes, these are preliminary
13	To object	designed, a drainage system that we have detention basins that we'll need
14	Log part	to catch the water, let it settle and
. zart	29175 en	then treat it and then let it out to
15	t employ	an ultimate outlet, that our teams
	A an the	have identified. The first detention
16	figure Black	pond is right here on the northwest
	idgia 'GE	quadrant going by South River Road
17	og able to	(inaudible) and its elbows along the
	Jakadi u	existing right-of-way for the
18	は最高。ひまれて出	turnpike. Another location will be up
7 57	tot bette	here, near the Bedford Hotel Group and
19	DEG DI BI	we're also looking at a drainage
1.04	We bed the	easement to provide an outlook for
20	3.10.0 - 90 JO	this water out across through the
	n Sussing r	International Church of the Foursquare
21	D V SW - 1 CI -	Gospel. Another consideration during
KLS 11		construction is that we may not be
22	HA TATA TO	able to provide full access to the
20,10		Lowe's property here along Station
23	manufacture and the	Road and so we're proposing to have a
1932		temporary access easement through here
24	Letter Paris	if necessary and then further to the
100	MANA SERVICE	south we have identified one area here

that if we can't treat all of our water in this location and then further to the south, which I'll get to that one if necessary, we have a fourth location, but right now we're feeling that we can catch the drainage here and treat it up there and then further to the south there is an existing drainage outlet and that today is in poor condition and we're looking to do is expand that, clean it up, make it function better and handle the increase water that we will be sending down this way. So in terms of right-of ways I mentioned that we are fortunate there is a lot of right-of-way layout from earlier projects. This section to the north of the bridge is entirely within the State Right-of-Way, which would be some slope easements that might be required. I can tell you on this quadrant there is an existing limited access right-of-way and we need to purchase a sliver from there to extend the new right-of-way outside of the proposed pavement. Further to the south, we have some properties that have set aside land for future right-of-way use because again in the Department policy, it laid out that we would be looking for a 50' right-of-way that's 50' on either side of the existing centerline. So these two properties, the Spine Clinic and the hotel have already provided for that land we need to purchase it, but they have set it aside for our use and following through Hawthorne Drive to the south, we would be looking to continue that 50' right-of-way about from this area here, where we can then start tapering in to the existing Route 3. So what we have is a design that we feel accommodates the future
from this area here, where we can then start tapering in to the existing
that we feel accommodates the future growth of the F.E. Everett Turnpike, U.S. Route 3, the offline location
U.S. Route 3, the offline location again, is less time and less money and

it should provide less delays to the traveling public and that concludes my presentation. ALEX VOGT: Thank you Trent. And I also want to note that the project, we'll construct sidewalks on both sides of Route 3 as Trent noted. The Department of Transportation, by policy and practice, does not maintain sidewalks, including the snow removal of the sidewalks. This is considered a local municipality responsibility and will require a municipality agreement between the Town of Bedford and the Department. In addition we'll also be looking for a work zone agreement with the Town of Bedford. This spells out traffic control responsibilities. So at this time I'd like to thank Mr. Chairman for our formal presentation and ask you and the Special Committee to find occasion for the layout as presented here this evening. Thank you.
between the Town of Bedford and the Department. In addition we'll also be looking for a work zone agreement with the Town of Bedford. This spells out traffic control responsibilities. So at this time I'd like to thank Mr. Chairman for our formal presentation and ask you and the Special Committee to find occasion for the layout as presented here this evening. Thank

. žúli 1 20		questions. Will anyone desiring to
		ask questions or make statements,
2		please raise your hand and upon
	1	recognition by me, please step to the
3	1	microphone, give your name and address
	1	and make your statements. Okay.
4	JOHN LEVINSTEIN:	My name is John Levinstein. I work at
470-400		25 Constitution Drive.
5	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
90.		it?
1640	SPEAKER:	It's all set.
7	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
- Add 8 I	JOHN LEVINSTEIN:	And I'm an attorney and I'm
d 0 8		representing the owners of 11 South
diw ine		River Road, LLC and West Street Keene,
100 9		LLC. If I may, could I bring the
08 . 80	SPEAKER:	microphone over? Yes, come right up.
10	JOHN LEVINSTEIN:	Our primary concern has to do with the
noisai	BOIN BEVINSTEIN.	drainage and the easements which are
99 11 1	1	going to be requested on this
2.5		property, which is owned by 11 South
12		River Road, LLC. Actually, by way of
		background, West Street Keene, LLC has
13		recently received approval to develop
1.658		this piece of property with a fast-
14		food restaurant in this area here and
15		an office building or retail building
10.5		in the back here. And part of that
16		approval, there was drainage studies
the		done, also some drainage which was
17		going to be installed along the
as no		roadway up here. Also, this intersection over here was designed
18		
1.146		and approved by the planning board. I provided a plan to the Department
19		which shows all of the details of
doin e		this, but this entranceway is designed
20		along with the entranceway for future
- 936		use, which would go into the property
21		over here which would allow this area
00		to be developed with access through
22		that lighted intersection. As part of
00		what the Department is proposing,
23		there are two effects primarily in the
24		front of the property here. The first
24		is there is an existing pipe which
		comes from this side of the roadway

90403	E. TOUR E	where Target and Lowe's is underneath
oako	11	Route 3 and presently empties right
2	H	over here and drains basically down
2		
		the slope, eventually going into the
3		brook or the Tioaga River which runs
		along the back here. As part of the
4	DIA J.	West Street Keene proposal there's a
	Tarist of	catch basin which was designed and
5	EQ VE	which will be constructed over here
		catchingthe water that's coming out
6	HORO:	of that pipe bringing it down the road
986		to a treatment area which is somewhere
7	116 200	in here. One of the things which we'd
0.		'like the Department to consider is,
8		rather than extending the pipe now and
0.7		
		creating this treatment area in this
9		location as they have it proposed,
act art		would be to utilize the system which
10		we've already designed and which has
dd Ipa		already been approved by the planning
11		board. Sending that water from the
	3.03	pipe that is going across the road,
12		down along the road and into this
	36363	treatment area which would eliminate
13	and the second	the need for this treatment area in
	10k 성 p	here and eliminate the need for the
14	Care Sail S	easement or the extended easement that
		is being requested. The second area
15	1 4 600	of concern to us is the pond which is
asedi		being proposed up in here, the
16		treatment pond. In the plan which I
. bys	Br 15-5-T	provided to the Department it shows
17	an ivo	the buildable area which can be
it Jan	or or r	utilized for this piece of property.
100	the last	
18	0 18.45	Because of the brook in the back and
140		the wetlands some of the areas
19		(inaudible) the primary area that can
2 3 W	The late of the la	be developed is a triangle pretty much
20	265	in this configuration. Where the pond
Direction	C. C. C. C.	is located will significantly, we
21	与 自然的。	feel, affect our ability to build in
gas a	100/12-	that area, particularly in conjunction
22	AS A Y	with this treatment area. We're not
V 252		totally eliminate the ability, but
23		would significantly decrease the
The Parks		ability to develop that parcel. What
24		we would request that the Department
24		
	The state of the s	look at is instead of putting the

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		time is O'Reilly on? 8:00? I guess we're going to make it. Good evening. My name is Jim Mayo. I'm representing Public Service Company of New Hampshire, 330 North Commercial Street in Manchester. We have two primary concerns and it's with the temporary access road and the drainage from that second pond. We do have a right-of-way utility corridor there with three major lines. Hard to tell from this plan exactly where it is, I'm assuming that hard-green angle
22		is, I'm assuming that hard-green angle is probably the right-of-way, but we
23		don't know that for a fact. Our concern's with the drainage because of
24	3-	that angle makes it very tough for us. If you're in the station section of right-of-way crossing the Station

1	注 #	Section Road, we can hop over that
BVE	II .	with new lines with anything we do,
2		but at an angle we're stuck there so our preference is to see anything like
3		a drain line at least 50' away from
"WOJ	11	the angle so that we can do whatever
4		we need to do there with guides or whatever we need to do. Our second
5		whatever we need to do. Our second concern is that temporary access road.
		I'm not sure where the right-of-way,
6		that is related to the right-of-way,
7		but if that's in the right-of-way we may have some real problems with that.
	79	I hope it's outside the right-of-way,
8		but it doesn't really show here. So
9		if that can be moved outside the right-of-way would be better for us.
		Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	Thank you very much. Anyone else?
11	COUNCILOR BURTON:	Councilor Burton? Yes. I have some questions of the
	COUNCILOR BORTON.	Department. Does this project impact
12		public or private water supplies?
13	ALEX VOGT:	Just a yes or no. No.
ež tro	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And it doesn't disturb anybody's
14	1.5	spring or longtime source of water for
15	ALEX VOGT:	their house or their business? Not that I'm aware of.
10	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And it does not come near any old
16		railroad beds or anything that had to
17	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	do with rail in this area?
	ALEX VOGT: COUNCILOR BURTON:	No. And does Public Service plan to bury
18		any of their lines in the future?
19	JIM MAYO:	No, we do not.
13	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And does this have, the project have any impact on Native American burial
20		grounds or anybody's private cemetery?
01	ALEX VOGT:	No.
21	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And does it impact any historic markers that might have been grown
22		over by brush or filled over that the
00		Department has discovered in any test
23		boarings or any walking around in this
24	ALEX VOGT:	project area? No, it doesn't.
	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And I assume this has been through the
11		

1	7	Bedford Town family, the planning
oh :		board, selectmen, etc. and you have
2		letters of interest at least in this
		project?
3	ALEX VOGT:	Yes, we sent letters out to, and had
	E	our previous meetings with the town.
4	COUNCILOR BURTON:	Okay. And this project does not
		landlock anyone after it's been
5		completed?
BH-	ALEX VOGT:	That's correct.
6	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And you're going to be taking out the
76		old bridge, are those just old cement
7.1		abutments? Are there any granite
8	1	pieces in there that the town might
U	1	like for a future project or even state government as State DOT's always
9		looking for stone and any historic big
		pieces of granite that might be of
10		interest and of value?
	ALEX VOGT:	No. I believe there may be some
11		curbing only.
	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And this of course, this project will
12	/ .	meet with ADA compliance. And what is
		the sidewalk deck? Is it packed
13		gravel so that people in a wheelchair
44		might enjoy coming out on this or is
14		it alltell me about the planned
15		pavement itself.
13	ALEX VOGT:	The sidewalks on each side are
16		supposed to be five-feet wide, paved
		asphalt, at 1.6 percent, our normal standard.
17	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And this going over the turnpike, will
world	COONCILOR BORTON:	have the huge fence so that folks
18		can't toss things on cars coming by or
		jump off the bridge?
19	ALEX VOGT:	Right. That's correct. We will have
5175	d-nacitema e	a fence on there and also keep snow
20	en strukte e	from falling off onto the highway
	Property of the second	below.
21	COUNCILOR BURTON:	And the bridge that's currently over
00		there is steel, right?
22	ALEX VOGT:	It's steel with a concrete deck.
23	COUNCILOR BURTON:	Steel with a concrete deck? Without
23		having been through one of these, I
24		don't want to ever see that bridge
	The state of the s	again. Once it's gone, it should be
	MILLI RESC SEC PINJ 8	scrapped and not be kept rusting
Charles of	A STATE OF THE STA	

	1	
1 2 3 4 5		somewhere on a state property, "well, we'll use it someday," and it appears public again. It's rusty. It does not give, in my opinion, speaking only for myself, a good image for New Hampshire State government, particularly DOT, which I might add, not to influence my associate members or the members of the Commission, but the Department of Transportation does an excellent job, in my experience
7		within the details. You notice that Alex had quick answers. He didn't have to take two hours to answer what kind of questions that I find very
9		appropriate on a project like this when \$11 million dollars of your public money is being used. Those are
11	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	my questions Mr. Chairman. Thank you. Well you know, this is what happens when you're there 31 years. How did you say Abraham Lincoln? Was he
12	COUNCILOR BURTON: CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	really articulate? Yes he was, as a matter of fact. I'm only kidding. No questions,
14		Councilor Shea? All right. Anybody else wish to speak before we stop? Come right up.
15	BOB RHEAULT:	I'm Bob Rheault, 233 South River Road, which is, for those around here, they know that it's the original Station
17		Road, which is just south of the turnpike. And I see in your proposal, I was asking this gentleman over here
18		earlier tonight, that you have an island in the middle of these four
19		lanes, which in my case is going to only let me have the option of a right
21		turn out of my property and as it is right now, Station Road, well the original Station Road is sealed off at
22		the property line down the hill where our land meets the church property.
23		It's gated, and I believe it's intended for only for the fire
24		department access, but anyway, we've been there over 40 years with (inaudible) and my brother, Roger, is

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		here tonight also. And it was our parents' property and my father had an excavator business which I still run today and my father died. And that would be a real issue for me to just end up with a right-turn out of there, you know, I have to come in and out there with trucks and equipment and, at any rate, that's my first concern. And the other thing is we do have a well and it's in front of our house and you're not going to be that far away from it, so I do have some
8		concerns about salt issues and that. I don't know if at some point that
9		could be a problem. And anyway, as of right now, the right turnout is my
10	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
11	JOHN ZDZIARSKI:	anyone else? Come right up. John Zdziarski, 32 West Drive. I
12		don't live anywhere near this area and I don't normally come to planning
13		meetings, so I kind of sleep through them, but I have a great amount of
14		respect that you guys can sit here and listen to me talk, but my big concern
15		with this is not what's happening right now. My big concern as a
16	E 1	taxpayer, is what is going to happen in 2013 or so when this project is
17		complete and there's already talk about us adopting this as a Class IV
	. 107	town road. I'm concerned about the
18	49	potential financial impact on the
	₹ ·	town, what it's going to do to our
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	taxes. So far I've been asking around
		and I haven't heard anything, maybe to
20	Early	premature even to get figures, but
434		just on the annual upkeep on the
21	Pag	sidewalks, the plowing, once this
200, 14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	becomes ours, presuming for a minute
22	1 % -	that it does become ours, you know,
	4	are we talking half a million dollars,
23	- 21	a million dollars? What's it going to
17 2		cost the town in, you know, five to
24		ten years to maintain this? I had
n_12		heard last year that we had conducted

1.		a small experiment maybe a couple
		other experiments on Route 3 using
2		recycled tires and things like that in the road to see what we could do to
3		stretch out the service life of Route
		3, and I'm wondering if perhaps we
4	1	could take a look at some of the
		results of those experiments and
5		consider ways that we could make this
6		particular improvement to have a much longer service life. I think, you
		know, from what I've heard on service
7		life, you know, most town roads is
ev J		only about 15 years, maybe 20 years,
8		I'm wondering if there's a way that we
9		can build this to, you know, to possibly have a service life of 30 or
		40 years perhaps with some of the new
10		technologies that we have been
1.12		experimenting with. That's my biggest
11		concern is just, you know, right now
12	5	it's being paid for with turnpike funds, ten years from now this is
3 10		going to be coming out of my pocket,
13		so I'd like to know just what we're
ed les	É.	getting_into here.
14	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
15	ALEX VOGT:	anyone else? May I comment on that?
10	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
16	ALEX VOGT:	I just want to comment on the service
47	3	life. We're going to fully
17	×5	reconstruct the roadway so it will be
18	-01	a brand new roadway with full, box, all the below-grade gravels and sand
ge bil	No.	below that and the appropriate amount
19	Sec.	of pavement on top. Usually on a road
00		like this we'll have about five inches
20		of pavement. We have an extensive
21		research and design section that does analyze what the needs for the roadway
		are, but honestly asphalt doesn't last
22		30 years before you have to go back
00		and retreat it. So on a roadway like
23		this, you should go back every ten or
24		15 years and put a new surface on and
		depending on how it's holding up, you can either grind off some and put some
		our cremer grand ort bome and par bome

1		on or just put new overlay. So
2		there's some cost, but with the new roadway it will be a lot less to
3	1	maintain than an older roadway. Concerning your first comment about
4		this being turned over to the town, there's nothing in the works regarding
5		that. But, obviously as roads do get congested and become more urbanized,
6		it is more appropriate to have the town maintain something that's really
7		an urban road, so as time has gone by, the urban compact has moved down and
8		has currently just south of the Lowe's
		driveway, but in the future, depending on how things develop out here, we
9	-	don't know where the urban compact may
10	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	
11	BILL DEMMERLE:	else wishing to speak? Come right up. Bill Demmerle, 265 South River Road.
		The orange section you have on the
12		map. I have concerns about traffic. Right now the turn lane starts at the
13		beginning of my driveways and people
14		are using the end of my driveways to get into the turn lane and my
		customers are in danger of being hit.
15		When this was first put in I tried to approach the town about curbing or
16		something to control the traffic and
17		basically didn't want to talk to me about it. I also have concerns about
12		water shed off of the highway caused
18		wash-outs in my property from the road
19		construction. I had to have curbing constructed about 75' down through my
20		property into the parking lot to control the water shed, so that and
21	7	the easement that you are looking for is going to put the road almost in my
22		bedroom. So I'm curious to talk to someone about that. That's it.
23	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK: ALEX VOGT:	Well, I'm not sure exactly where your
24	CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK: ALEX VOGT:	location is, but I would certainly Want to show him on the map? 17? Okay.

1	BILL DEMMERLE:	This is my driveway right here.
2	ALEX VOGT: BILL DEMMERLE:	Okay. This is the main driveway for the
3		business and our home, and the gravel driveway to the north (inaudible).
. 4		Outside where your proposed easement is all the way to the back of the property a couple hundred feet long.
5 6	ALEX VOGT: BILL DEMMERLE:	Okay. There's an underground electrical in here, there's an island with a sign in it and lighting. There's a street
7	ALEX VOGT:	light on the (inaudible). Well, during final design we'll be willing to work with you to identify and improve the situation that's out
10	BILL DEMMERLE: CHAIRMAN WIECZOREK:	there. I appreciate it. Thank you. Thank you very much. Anyone else? There being no indication of anyone
11		remaining who desires to be heard, this hearing is adjourned and is adjourned at approximately 7:49.
13	E.	Thank you all very much for coming and I want to thank everybody here, my DOT and my two fellow councilors and our
14		alternates that are here.
15		(HEARING ADJOURNED)
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	d	
21		
22		
23		
24		

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE MERRIMACK, SS.

I, Jeanette P. Patten, do hereby certify that I transcribed from a tape recording, the foregoing pages and that the same is a true, full and correct transcript of all of the testimony at the hearing, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Jeanette P. Patten/Notary Public

THE LAW OFFICE OF RODNEY L. STARK PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION THE CORPORATION HOUSE 121 MIDDLE STREET MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03101

RODNEY L, STARK SHERRY M, HIEBER** LINDA E, FRAAS*

**ALSO ADMITTED IN FL *ALSO ADMITTED IN MA TELEPHONE: 603 - 627-411!
TELEFAX: 603 - 627-7568
WEBSITE: www.starklaw.com

rstark@starklaw.com shieber@starklaw.com lfraas@starklaw.com

April 2, 2009

Raymond J. Wieczorek, Chairman of the Special Committee c/o William J. Cass, Director of Project Development New Hampshire Department of Transportation PO Box 483, 7 Hazen Drive Concord, NH 03302-0483

RE: <u>Bedford</u>, 13527

Public Hearing

McKelvie Middle School

Dear Mr. Wieczorkek:

Enclosed please find a REQUEST OF THE COMMISSION relative to the above-references project.

If you have questions please give me a call.

Very truly yours,

Rodney L. Stark

RLS/mg

Enclosures:

cc: Robert Rheault

Roger Rheault

PECEIVED

OMMISSIONERS OFFICE

APR 03 2009

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MARCH 25, 2009

BEDFORD, 13527 PUBLIC HEARING

MCKELVIE MIDDLE SCHOOL

Re:

Attention:	Raymond J. Wieczorek, Chairman of the Special Committee c/o William J. Cass, Director of Project Development New Hampshire Department of Transportation PO Box 483, 7 Hazen Drive Concord, NH 03302-0483
Dear Sir:	
	e to information received during the Public Hearing process for the above-referenced) hereby make the following request of the Commission:
SI	E ATTACHMENT.
	harden allegate and the second
•	
	e) understand that I (we) will be notified in writing of the Commission's decision request. I(we) also understand that this request will be included as part of the official Signed: Roger Republic (Please Print) Address: 233 So. River Road Bedform N 4. 05070 03110-6823
	Phone: # 603 - 623 - 53 493 - 7884 (Cell) NH DOT Project Parcel # 13527

RE: Bedford, 13527
Public Hearing
McKelvie Middle School

- 1. The D.O.T. preliminary plan does not provide an opening in the center island for the Rheault property at 233 South River Road. Access to our (Robert and Roger Rheault) property will be severely restricted to only a north bound exit and entrance to our property. Robert (and his father before him) has operated an excavation business on our property for over 40 years, and having no ability to turn into our driveway in a south bound direction is a severe and unfair hardship on the use of property. With no south bound entrance to our property, fire and emergency vehicles will not have ready access to our property. We would request that the D.O.T. modify its preliminary plan to provide for an opening in the center island for this access. It is noteworthy that our driveway is the only driveway within the limits of the proposed center island which has no opening. There are no reasonable alternatives available to us. We will be in effect land locked to a substantial degree.
- 2. Our well is adjacent to and downhill from the relocated Route 3. We are concerned that our well will become salted and destroyed.
- 3. During his presentation of the Public Hearing, the D.O.T. Project Manager stated that there would be a "temporary access issues to the Rheault property during construction". We would like to have an explanation of what these issues will be and how the D.O.T. plans to assure that we have sufficient access to our property at all times during construction.

DANIEL J. KALINSKI, ESQUIRE

Attorney at Law

16 Salmon Street, Manchester, N.H. 03104 Telephone: (603) 644-4900 Fax: (603) 644-4961

April 1, 2009

William J. Cass, Director
Project Development
NH Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 483
Concord, NH 03302-0483

COMMISSIONERS OFFICE

APR 0.2 2009

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIM

Re: Public Hearing - Bedford, 13527

Dear Mr. Cass:

I represent Sakkara Properties, LLC (hereinafter the "LLC"), owner of the parcel of land located at 201 South River Road in Bedford, New Hampshire (hereinafter the "Property"). Mark Carignan is the managing member of the LLC.

Mr. Carignan attended the public hearing conducted by the New Hampshire Department of Transportation (hereinafter "DOT") on March 25, 2009 at the McKelvie Middle School regarding the replacement of the US Route 3 Bridge over the F.E. Everett Turnpike in Bedford and associated improvement to US Route 3 (hereinafter the "Project"). The Project's preliminary plan (hereinafter the "Plan") was reviewed and discussed at the meeting. Mr. Carignan understands that the Plan proposes the placement of a retention pond on the north side of U.S. Route 3 near the proposed bridge.

An attorney for West Street Keene, LLC (hereinafter "West Street") spoke at the public hearing. Mr. Carignan does not remember his name. The attorney stated that West Street owns a parcel or parcels of land on which the retention pond is proposed to be situated. He also stated that West Street has received approval from the Town of Bedford for a commercial project on that parcel or parcels.

The attorney asked the DOT to consider eliminating the retention pond and to direct the flow of water toward the LLC's Property which is situated approximately 1000 feet westerly of the West Street property. The contour of the land slopes downward westerly William J. Cass, Director April 1, 2009 Page 2

from West Street's property to the LLC's Property. The stated reason for the change is that West Street Keene wants the use of more of the land for its commercial project.

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that the LLC (and Mr. Carignan on its behalf) object to the request of West Street to eliminate the retention pond and direct the flow of water toward the LLC's Property if it results in an increase in the current flow of water on the LLC's Property. There is already a small retention pond on the LLC's Property pursuant to a drainage easement with the State of New Hampshire. The LLC objects to any additional water flowing onto the LLC's Property as it may negatively impact the LLC's future use of the Property.

I understand from your March 10, 2009 letter that this letter will be included in the transcript of the hearing.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Daniel J. Kalinski

DJK/m

cc: Mark Carignan, Member,
Sakkara Properties, LLC

MEETING ATTENDANCE

Bedford: US 3 bridge replacement over the F.E. Everett Turnpike

1327J	Tumpike Tumpike	OCATION Bedford McKelvio
State	Federal	:ON 10700V
Соттепт	Agency or Address	эшьИ
	.M27	RENT DINAR
	300 Fiver Fd Han, 0310 4	Les (dome
	101 Powore Hukh 19E01580 03110	PAY CHADWICK
	she so River Rd, sections NI	Marcio Deminerte
//	265 50 Rim rd Bulkall	BILL Summer L
The state of the s	334 So PIVER RO BORGEL	Marine Boardon
	देवम् स्वरात्व रिक्षकरत्तार ६८८ ।	1 1912 Lease Conson
	258 SOH KING 159	201/12 msp
SIA MEIGHTS PROPRIES.	150 South Liver long 1	MICHARE SANDAN
The second secon	WANCHESTER WATER WORKS	Guy Chabat
	333 50. River 120	1305 13 heavet
f	121M1DOLEST MANChoster	SET NOTES JOUNTES
	333 S. RIVER 72D.	TOOPER TELEBULT
	TOOHL	B.11 Cucs
	LOC HN	Botou Bosiak
	LOOHN	MASSEN YARI
	LOQ AIN	BOB AUBREY
	MEDEL	MIKE DUGAS
	SUHPC	Jry Mrite
Studentinos	3 thutter a	El Rooney
	1H Sunt Der 9 83 Obled untons	Stock Rolonge
West Trees Lecu, LLC and 11 South Rus Per. LL		Jen Levenstein
west Dr. Plenning Drector		Rick Souget
Ro Public works Dilector	Town of Belloid 24 10, AMPORT	CHOSUATS MIC
How much will this cost the town to maintain when a	14 72 W. Co	1 km sas now then of
Subjected 1	DEDEAND FOURTHANKE CHURCH DEDICAD	Ermuns C. NichoLAS
A 1976-1971.1.2.1.2	TOURN	Maggie Bildwin

PROJECT

WEETING VILENDVNCE

Bedford: US 3 bridge replacement over the F.E. Everett Turnpike

		PROJECT Bedford 1'S Theat
- Styles	C Italian and the Line English of	many or a proper or a continuous and the
	ruthe School, Bentord, NH	OCA TON MUSICAL MOTATOR
	- carre	MOJECT NO.
1826	- The second of the second of	
	The second secon	
the large state of the state of	resident to follow.	Gillian Commence
	S4 5 8	The said of the said
X Y		
	Lander and the Santanian and	
	The Adams of the Section 1992.	Like a property of the second
		5 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
		i distribution is a consideration of
	San	The state of the s
		in 1700 miles
		the Market Cornel
The state of the s	The second second second second second	Salary Transfer
Transcription of the second se		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Let Brail and Control of State Control o
	2 2 3 5 6	The second of the second
	Line Land Control of the Landson	·
	The first season	Total and the second
	- 0.00	No. 2011
	100 th	too with
	Bedford Foursquare church Bedford Foursquare church	13/11/ Joseph 25/1/2
and the second s	1381 Foursought Church	3/14/200 -11.51
	HUSD	O'AM MIL
Comments	Agency or Address	әшеМ
	In land	
State	Federal	ON LOSCON
13227	ayirmin)	
	Middle School, Bedford, NH	LOCATION Bediord McKelvie I

PROJECT



